



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.								
10/823,446	04/13/2004	David L. Patton	87472F-P	4851								
7590 Pamela R. Crocker Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street Rochester, NY 14650-2201		01/11/2007	<table border="1"><tr><td colspan="2">EXAMINER</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">HRUSKOCI, PETER A</td></tr><tr><td>ART UNIT</td><td>PAPER NUMBER</td></tr><tr><td>1724</td><td></td></tr></table>		EXAMINER		HRUSKOCI, PETER A		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1724	
EXAMINER												
HRUSKOCI, PETER A												
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER											
1724												
			<table border="1"><tr><td>MAIL DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td></tr><tr><td>01/11/2007</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></table>	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	01/11/2007	PAPER					
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE											
01/11/2007	PAPER											

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

✓

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/823,446	PATTON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Frank Pincelli.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 4 January 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*None*

**Claims discussed:**

*All*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*None*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Amendments to the claims were suggested for the sake of clarity and accuracy. Double patenting issues with 11/449,425 were also discussed. Mr. Pincelli agreed to FAX a supplemental amendment and terminal disclaimer for formal consideration*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)